## **APPENDIX 3**

## West Wight School Places Consultation.

Alternative Options submitted:

[Note: Some options suggest federation and then relocation. School Organisation Regulations do not allow this as you are still required to close one or more of the schools involved.]

	<ul> <li>a) Close / Federate All Saints' CE Primary with Yarmouth / Shalfleet CE Primary federation, increase the size of Yarmouth to one form entry and relocate it on to the All Saints' site.</li> </ul>	
Pros	Cons	
<ul> <li>Retains a school provision in Freshwater</li> <li>Reduces total number of school places available in West Wight</li> <li>Utilises strong local leadership</li> <li>PSBP2 funding at All Saints' site can be retained albeit some uncertainty regarding size of rebuild.</li> <li>Location of school better for links with community facilities such as leisure centre</li> <li>Provides adequate playing field facilities</li> <li>Releases Yarmouth primary site for alternate use/s</li> <li>Reduction in school travel movements due to number of Freshwater children attending Yarmouth</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>No future school provision in Yarmouth</li> <li>Potential Home to School transport implications for children living in Yarmouth area as would have to travel to Freshwater</li> <li>Early Years setting at Yarmouth could become isolated and would need to be considered how it was retained on the site</li> <li>Timing may prove difficult to manage, children current on roll at ASPS may leave and go elsewhere</li> <li>Transition period incurs expense for buildings and transport</li> </ul>	

b) Federate All Saints' with Brighstone CE Primary retaining a smaller school on the All Saints' site. Re-purpose parts of the All Saints' site not required.	
Pros	Cons
<ul> <li>Retains a school provision in Freshwater</li> <li>PSBP2 funding at All Saints' site can be retained;</li> <li>Reduction in PAN at ASPS already delivered, some reduction is surplus is achieved.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Doesn't reduce school sites in West Wight</li> <li>All Saints' site not fully utilised (ie 140 place school on 210 site)</li> <li>Both schools currently Require Improvement rating by Ofsted</li> <li>Distance between the two sites reduces benefit of shared teaching and resources</li> </ul>

c) Federate All Saints' with St Saviour's	to provide a new multi-faith one form entry
school on the All Saints' site.	to provide a new multi-faith one form entry
Pros	Cons
<ul> <li>Retains a school provision in Freshwater</li> <li>PSBP2 funding at All Saints' site can be retained</li> <li>Reduces total number of school places available in West Wight</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Would break the close link between St Saviour's and its local church end primary phase schooling in Totland</li> <li>Could negatively impact the on-site early years provision</li> <li>Loss of a good condition school site</li> <li>Not a preferred option of the RC diocese</li> <li>All the above in option 1 regarding transition and revenue funding</li> <li>Closure of an Ofsted 'good' school</li> </ul>

<ul> <li>d) Change the leadership at All Saints', reduce its size and re-purpose those parts of the school not required.</li> </ul>	
Pros	Cons
<ul> <li>Retains a school provision in Freshwater</li> <li>PSBP2 funding at All Saints' site can be retained</li> <li>Reduction in PAN at ASPS already delivered, some reduction of surplus is achieved.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Doesn't reduce number of school sites in West Wight</li> <li>All Saints site not fully utilised (ie 140 place school on 210 site)</li> <li>Difficult climate to secure a strong leader for such a small school currently in RI</li> <li>Leaves school open to further drops in numbers as overall number of children in the area decreases</li> <li>A governing body can only remove a headteacher if there are strong grounds to prove that they are incompetent and that they remain incompetent after a significant period of support</li> </ul>

e) Close Brighstone Primary and move the students to All Saints' creating a one form entry school. Find alternate uses for the Brighstone site.	
Pros	Cons
<ul> <li>Retains a school provision in Freshwater</li> <li>PSBP2 funding at All Saints' site can be retained;</li> <li>Reduces total number of school places available in West Wight;</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>No future school provision in Brighstone</li> <li>Home to School Transport issues for children living in Brighstone area</li> <li>Moves pupils from one RI school to the other RI school in West Wight whereas the other schools in the area are Ofsted good</li> </ul>

f) Close Yarmouth CE Primary School and move the pupils to All Saints' CE Primary creating a one form entry school. Find alternate uses for the Yarmouth site.	
Pros	Cons
<ul> <li>Retains a school provision in Freshwater</li> <li>PSBP2 funding at All Saints' site can be retained</li> <li>Reduces total number of school places available in West Wight</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Closes a good school</li> <li>Retains a RI school</li> <li>Breaks Federation with Shalfleet CE Primary</li> <li>No future school provision in Yarmouth</li> <li>Potential Home to School Transport Costs</li> <li>Closure of an Ofsted 'good' and popular school which is near to fully subscribed</li> </ul>

g) Federate all the CE schools in West Wight and keep All Saints' open.	
Pros	Cons
<ul> <li>Retains a school provision in Freshwater</li> <li>PSBP2 funding at All Saints' site can be retained</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Doesn't reduce school sites in West Wight</li> <li>All Saints' site not fully utilised (ie 140 place school on 210 site)</li> <li>Federation operating across 4 sites very challenging</li> <li>Retains an RI school</li> </ul>

h) Close St Saviour's and All Saints' and in Freshwater. It could be a multi-faith	d build a new one form entry school elsewhere n, CE, Catholic or non-faith school.
Pros	Cons
<ul> <li>Retains a school provision in Freshwater</li> <li>Reduces total number of school places available in West Wight;</li> <li>Releases St Savours and All Saints' site for other uses</li> <li>New primary school could be nonfaith giving new option for community</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>No other site owned by Diocese or IW Council has been identified.</li> <li>If new primary was non-faith, loses Catholic option for community</li> <li>Significant capital investment required</li> <li>Closure of an Ofsted 'good' and popular school which is near to fully subscribed</li> <li>Loss of PSBP2 funding at All Saints site</li> <li>Timing may prove difficult to manage, children current on roll at ASPS may leave and go elsewhere</li> </ul>

i) Close St Saviour's, All Saints' and Yarmouth and build a new two form entry school on the All Saints' site. It could be a multi-faith, CE, Catholic or non-faith school.	
Pros	Cons
<ul> <li>Retains a school provision in Freshwater</li> <li>Reduces total number of school places available in West Wight (only nominally, total PAN now is only 65 and from next year it is 50)</li> <li>PSBP2 funding at All Saints' site can be retained</li> <li>Greater financial viability of a 2fe school</li> <li>Releases Yarmouth and St Saviour's sites for future educational or other use</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>If new school was CE or non-faith removes the catholic option for the community</li> <li>Breaks the link between St Saviour's and its local church</li> <li>No funding identified to build new 2fe school</li> <li>Closure of 2 Ofsted 'good' schools</li> <li>Less parental choice for Schools in West Wight</li> </ul>

j) Close All Saints' and re-open Totland F	Primary.
Pros	Cons
•	<ul> <li>Totland Primary closed in 2004</li> <li>If reference means Weston         Academy which closed in 2015. Site         is on split levels, not suitable at all.</li> <li>Loss of PSBP2 funding at All Saints'         site</li> <li>Timing may prove difficult to         manage, children current on roll at         ASPS may leave and go elsewhere</li> </ul>

k) Defer closure to Sept 2020 and allow All Saints to improve	
Pros	Cons
•	<ul> <li>Does not manage the immediate issues around surplus capacity</li> <li>Fails to address the on-going standards issues</li> <li>Leaves five schools in the West Wight</li> </ul>